

Community Asset Transfer Officers Assessment

Name of Organisation				
was of Accept	Name			
me of Asset	Name o			
set Number	Asset I			
gle Point of Contact (SPOC)	Single			

SECTION 1: How will the Community benefit from the proposal

Yes

No

Community Benefits

				Ш	Ш				
Will the proposal create a new									
service, activity or protect an									
existing service/p		n in tr	ie						
local community?									
Will the proposal	have w	vider							
community benef	īts – us	se of							
resources?									
Will the proposal	create								
opportunities for				Ш	Ш				
organisations to		gethe	r?						
_									
Will the proposal economic/financia									
into the area (e.g									
unavailable to cu									
new employment	opport	tunity)	?						
Is the asset suita	ble and	d/or							
sufficient for its p	ropose	d use	?						
- Add details									
whether the with the Dis		compii	es						
Discriminat	-								
How will the prop			•						
equity and reduce	equity and reduce poverty?								
SECTION 2: Who	ot rooo	urooo	do	tha ar	aonic	ation have so	oooo to?		
SECTION 2: Wha	11 1620	urces	uo	tile or	yanıs	ation nave ac	Cess to f		
Resources	Yes	No	Cu	rrent		Proposed	Capital	Revenue	
Financial (Own)									
e.g. fundraising Financial									
(Grant Sources)	Ш	Ш							
People									
(Numbers									
/Membership)									
Capital									
•	Ш								
Revenue									
e.g. regular									
letting income									

Detailed Information

Officers Assessment Revised July 2022

North Ayrshire Council Officers For Use Only. Applicants For Information Only.

SECTION 3: Achievable/Criteria (Refer to Section 4 For scoring guidance)

Community Development Team Evaluation

Best Value Theme	Information Required	Score
Vision and Leadership	A clear plan for achieving the intended outcomes, ideally showing links to local or national priorities. Members of the community transfer body would	
	also show that they have the relevant skills and experience to deliver the intended objectives.	
Effective Partnerships	The detail of any partnerships in place to help ensure successful delivery of the intended benefits. Community support is vital and can be shown through a variety of metrics such as surveys, consultations or ballots.	
Governance and Accountability	An outline to illustrate that the appropriate structures and policies are in place to help ensure success in the longer-term.	
Use of Resources	Explain how the body's current and future resources will be used as part of a medium to long term plan (5-10 years). This could include the numbers of employees or volunteers and the maintenance of any asset. This could also include the funding requirements of the group and the sources of funding already in place.	
Performance Management	Outline the way in which a community transfer body will be able to monitor the achievement of its objectives, whether that be recording volunteers time or the amount of benefit achieved as part of the overarching vision. To demonstrate openness and transparency it will be important to report performance to the community.	
Sustainability	There are five broad principles of sustainability: Strong leadership – Promote good governance Continuous improvement – Evaluate, learn and improve Financial stability – Live within your means Ensures equity – Be fair to all Embrace diversity – Celebrate and foster ethnic, cultural, economic and biological diversity.	
Equality	The community transfer body should establish that the different groups within the community have had their needs taken into account. Any request should include where a proposal may lead to a reduction in inequalities of outcome from socio-economic disadvantage.	

ACHIEVABLE	CRITERIA	SCORE	ADDITIONAL COMMENT
Best Value Themes	Vision and Leadership		
(CD Team)	Effective Partnerships		
	Governance and Accountability		
	Use of Resources		
	Performance Management		
	Sustainability		
	Equality		
	Risk Ranking Total		Up to 14 Low; 15-27 Med; 28+ High
Robustness of Business Plan (Business Team)	Assessment of organisation in relation to: Viability of business plan Detailed, cost proposals Amount of capital funding for project (secured, conditional, speculative)		

F			1		
	Amount of revenue funding				
	project (secured, condition	nal,			
	speculative)				
	Professional team / suppo	ort			
	Delivery Programme				
	Risk Ranking Total		Up to 14	Low; 15-	27 Med; 28+ High
Final Evaluation					Score
Community Developm	nent				
Finance / Business					
Total					
Final Recommendation	n				
Estates / Legal Final Comments					
Decision					
Support \square	Reject \square		Defer	\Box	
	Neject			info room	ابه ما/
Any Conditions			(Further	info requ	iieu)
Any Conditions					
Completed By			Date		

SECTION 4: Scoring & Evaluation Mechanism

The following evaluation tool is used to assess asset transfer proposals by community and voluntary sector (COMMUNITY) organisations. It may also be used to evaluate proposals for shorter term leases to COMMUNITY organisations. The tool may be adapted on a case by case basis as appropriate. The tool is used at Stage 2 of the asset transfer process to broadly evaluate the suitability of the proposal. Scores should be applied as follows.

Evidence Overview S				
Very Strong	Governance and financial arrangements are strong and sustainable. Best Value characteristics are evidenced and contained throughout the overall approach. Related projected benefits are very robust and demonstrate value for money: suitability, effectiveness, prudence, quality, value and the avoidance of error and other waste.	1		
Strong	Governance and financial arrangements are sound and sustainable. Best Value characteristics are in evidence in the proposal. Related projected benefits are demonstrated well and represent value for money.	2		
Moderate	Governance and financial arrangements are in place and acceptable. Best Value characteristics have been considered as part of the proposal. Related projected benefits are acceptable and could lead to value for money.	3		
Weak	Governance and financial arrangements are weak. Best Value characteristics are not well demonstrated in the proposal. Related projected benefits are not based on robust information and demonstrates questionable value for money.	4		
Poor	Governance and financial arrangements are poor. There is little evidence of Best Value characteristics in the proposal. Related projected benefits are ill defined and/or unrealistic and do not demonstrate value for money.	5		

SECTION 5: Final Assessment for Committee Appraisal

Committee Report Name	
Committee Report Name	
Cabinet Date	
Cabinet Date	
Status of Asset	
(as per Asset Register –	
Estates)	
Current Use	
(Community Development	
Team)	
Ward	
vvaiu	
Asset Transfer	
Applicant	
у фриосии	
Proposed Terms of	Decision and Feedback Given to Applicant regarding terms agreed.
Transfer Ownership	
(Estates)	
Leasehold Market Value	Decision and Feedback Given to Applicant regarding terms agreed.
/ Discounted (Estates)	
Final Score and	
Recommendation	
(Community Development	
Team)	
Completed By	
Date	
Date of Review by Asset	
Management Group	
Cabinet Date	
Decision.	
Decision	
Decision and Feedback	
given to Applicant Date	